

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FI                   | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |                       | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/835,443            | 9/835,443 04/17/2001 |            | Yoshinobu Shiraiwa   |                       | 35.C15299           | 5474             |  |
| 5514                  | 7590                 | 10/23/2003 | EXAMINER             |                       |                     |                  |  |
| FITZPATR<br>30 ROCKEF |                      | LA HARPER  | <b></b>              | COLILLA, DANIEL JAMES |                     |                  |  |
| NEW YORK, NY 10112    |                      |            |                      |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                       |                      |            | •                    |                       | 2854                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1  |   | Applica   | iti n No.   | Applicant(s)   | r                   |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|---------------------|--|
|  | esia. Antinu Communication  | 09/835  | 443   | SHIRAIWA, YOSH   | SHIRAIWA, YOSHINOBU |  |
| Oi   | fic Action Summary  | Examin  | r   | Art Unit   |                     |  |
|  |   | Dan Co  |   | 2854   |                     |  |
| The<br>Peri d for Rep  | MAILING DATE of this commu  | unicati n appears on t  | hec vershe tw   | ith the corresp nd nce ac  | ldress              |  |
| THE MAILIN  - Extensions of after SIX (6) N  - If the period for If NO period for Failure to replayers.  - Any reply received. | NED STATUTORY PERIOD NG DATE OF THIS COMMU time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this color reply specified above is less than thirty or reply is specified above, the maximum y within the set or extended period for repived by the Office later than three month term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no mmunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and ply will, by statute, cause the a s after the mailing date of this | event, however, may a<br>tatutory minimum of thi<br>will expire SIX (6) MOI<br>pplication to become A | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                     |  |
| 1)⊠ Resp   | oonsive to communication(s)   | filed on 04 August 20   | <u>003</u> .  |  |                     |  |
| 2a)☐ This  | action is FINAL.  | 2b)⊠ This action  | is non-final.   |  |                     |  |
|  | e this application is in conditied in accordance with the prace of the conditions.  |   |   |  | ne merits is        |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim  | (s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-11 and 14-16</u> is  | s/are pending in the a  | pplication.   |  |                     |  |
| 4a) Of   | the above claim(s) is   | /are withdrawn from o   | consideration.  |  |                     |  |
| 5)☐ Claim  | (s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |                     |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim  | (s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-11 and 14-16</u> is  | /are rejected.  |   |  |                     |  |
| 7)☐ Claim  | (s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |                     |  |
|  | (s) are subject to rest   | riction and/or election   | requirement.  |  |                     |  |
| Application Pa   | •   |   |   |  |                     |  |
| ·  | pecification is objected to by the  |   |   |  |                     |  |
|  | awing(s) filed on <u>17 April 200</u>   |   |   | •  |                     |  |
|  | icant may not request that any c  |   | _   |  |                     |  |
|  | oposed drawing correction file  | ·   | •   | disapproved by the Examin  | er.                 |  |
|  | proved, corrected drawings are ath or declaration is objected   |   | Office action.  |  |                     |  |
|  | •   | to by the Examiner.   |   |  |                     |  |
| <u> </u>   | 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  | ing for foreign main all.   | da25.11.0.0   | 0.440(-) (-1) (0)  |                     |  |
|  | owledgment is made of a clai<br>b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of  |   | under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (t).   |                     |  |
| ·—   | ,   |   |   |  |                     |  |
|  | Certified copies of the priorit   |   |   | amalinakina Na   |                     |  |
| ^ <b>—</b>   | Certified copies of the priorit   |   |   |  | <b>O</b> 1          |  |
|  | Copies of the certified copie<br>application from the Inte<br>attached detailed Office act  | rnational Bureau (PC  | T Rule 17.2(a)).  |  | Stage               |  |
| 14) Acknow   | ledgment is made of a claim   | for domestic priority   | under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(e) (to a provisiona  | l application).     |  |
|  | ne translation of the foreign land  |   | • •   |  |                     |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   | -   |   |  |                     |  |
| 2) Notice of Dra   | erences Cited (PTO-892)<br>ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review<br>bisclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)  |   |   | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No<br>Informal Patent Application (PT  |                     |  |

Art Unit: 2854

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLeod in view of Nakaya.

With respect to claim 1, McLeod discloses the claimed printing paper except for the round shape of the four corners. McLeod discloses a printing paper with a center portion 10a and two end portions 10b and 10c. The center portion has two pairs of opposite sides and four corners as shown in Figure 1, 5 and 6 of McLeod. Additionally, one pair of opposite sides of the central portion 10a have no other printing paper attached. Nakaya teaches a printed sheet 2 (therefore a printing paper) with a central portion 1. The four corners of the central portion 1 are rounded as shown in Figure 1 of Nakaya. It is noted that the right side of the central portion 1 is not attached to any other printing paper, thus it is known to create rounded corners at and edge of a printing paper. The selection of rounded corners over squared corners would have been an obvious variation to one of ordinary skill in the art which would be selected for the aesthetic design of the paper.

With respect to claim 2, McLeod discloses that the printing paper has perforations 12 and 14 between the center portion 10a and the outside portions 10b and 10c.

Art Unit: 2854

3

With respect to claim 4, the round corners taught by Nakaya are shaped as arcs as shown in Figure 1 of Nakaya.

With respect to claim 15, any size of printing paper would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since the size of the paper has no bearing on the above mentioned structure.

3. Claims 5-6, 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLeod in view of Skees and Nakamura.

With respect to claim 1, McLeod discloses the claimed printing paper except for the round shape of the four corners and that the paper is a label. McLeod discloses a printing paper with a center portion 10a and two end portions 10b and 10c. The center portion has two pairs of opposite sides and four corners as shown in Figure 1, 5 and 6 of McLeod. Additionally, one pair of opposite sides of the central portion 10a have no other printing paper attached.

Skees teaches a printed sheet 26 (therefore a printing paper) with an inner portion 36. The four corners of the portion 36 are rounded as shown in Figure 7 of Skees. It is noted that the bottom side of the inner portion 36 is not attached to any other label printing paper, thus it is known to create rounded corners at and edge of a label printing paper. The selection of rounded corners of Skees over squared corners disclosed by McLeod would have been an obvious variation to one of ordinary skill in the art which would be selected for the aesthetic design of the paper.

Nakamura teaches a card 74 that is a central portion of a larger sheet 72 as shown in Figure 6 of Nakamura. Card 74 can be separated from a sheet 72 and applied as a label

Art Unit: 2854

through the use of adhesive 76. It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of Nakamura with the printing paper disclosed by McLeod for the advantage of permanently fixing the printed card to another surface for decorative purposes or to another sheet of paper for informational purposes.

With respect to claim 6, McLeod discloses that the printing paper has perforations 12 and 14 between the center portion 10a and the outside portions 10b and 10c.

With respect to claim 8, the round corners taught by Skees are shaped as arcs as shown in Figure 7 of Skees.

With respect to claim 16, any size of printing paper would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since the size of the paper has no bearing on the above mentioned structure.

4. Claims 9-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLeod in view of Skees and Nakamura, as applied to claims 1, 2, 4 and 15 above, and further in view of Hirano et al.

With respect to claims 9 and 10, McLeod in view of Skees and Nakamura discloses a printing paper as mentioned above, but it is not known to the examiner what type of feeding device is used in the printer 4. However, Hirano et al. teaches that it is known to feed a label paper through a printer while holding the outside edges of a label media as shown in Figure 3 of Hirano et al. It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of Hirano et al. with the label printing paper disclosed by McLeod in view of Skees and Nakamura for the advantage of feeding the label media in both directions in order to maintain the correct tension in the media (see abstract of Hirano et al.).

Art Unit: 2854

Additionally, it would have been obvious to print on label paper for the self-adhesive advantages of labels.

With respect to claims 11 and 14, Hirano et al. discloses a printing system including a printhead 24 and two rollers 17 and 18 which hold the entire label including the outside portions as shown in Figures 1 and 3 of Hirano et al.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Colilla whose telephone number is (703) 308-2259. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 8:30-5:30. Faxes regarding this application can be sent to (703) 746-4405.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached at (703)305-6619. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

October 20, 2003

Dan Colilla
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2854